

Arts and Health Grant Evaluation Rubric

Total Score = 20. Reviewers will assign a total score for each section: Health (1-10), Artistic (1-5), Feasibility (1-5)

Health Impact, Access, and Community Engagement (50%) <i>Is there evidence of a positive health impact on the community?</i>		SCORE 1 - 10
PROJECT CONCEPT	Thoughtfully conceived project concept. Capacity to deliver appreciable health and/or public health benefit.	10 - Robust evidence of a positive health impact on the community across all criteria. 5 - Evidence of positive health impact across some criteria. 1 - Attempted but did not meet the criteria.
TARGET AUDIENCE	Clearly describes target audience/population to be served and intended health benefit.	
PROGRAMMATIC ACCESS	Project is appropriate and aligns with participants' needs/abilities. Project plan anticipates and removes barriers to provide physical & programmatic access to individuals of all abilities and underserved populations.	
HEALTH ADVISOR	Shows evidence of engagement with health professionals and/or subject matter experts and/or community partners as defined by the project.	
EVIDENCE OF HEALTH BENEFIT	Shows evidence of impact as defined by the scope of the project: Health or Public Health. Health: Evidence of integrating the arts to promote wellness and healing—facilitating the physical, cognitive and social emotional aspects of individual and collective healing and well-being. Public Health: Incorporates activities that impart a clear understanding/experience of public health benefit. Utilize the arts to generate awareness and health-related strategies to build healthy communities for all Rhode Islanders by addressing the social determinants of health.	
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	<i>If Applicable</i> - Professional development and education opportunities for healthcare staff that further understanding of the power of arts-based approaches to facilitate health, well-being, and equity.	Y/N or n/a
Artistic Engagement and Relevancy (25%) <i>Is there evidence that the arts are relevant and integral to this project and centered in achieving health goals?</i>		SCORE 1 - 5
ARTIST ENGAGEMENT	Artist engagement is central to the project.	5 - Robust evidence that artist experiences and engagement are relevant to the project. 3 - Adequate evidence that artist experiences and engagement are relevant to the project. 1 - Minimal evidence that artist experiences and engagement are relevant to the project.
ARTIST RELEVANCY	Evidence that Rhode Island practicing artist/s can provide relevant and respectful engagement with the identified population. Health: Artistic experiences are centered in achieving health goals. Public Health: Artistic experiences are centered in achieving public health goals.	
Feasibility (25%) <i>Is there evidence that the project will be carried out successfully as defined?</i>		SCORE 1 - 5
ACHIEVABILITY	Application clearly defines project: What will be done? When? Where? By whom. Population that will benefit.	5 - Robust evidence across all criteria that project will be carried out successfully as defined. 3 - Adequate evidence across all the criteria that the project will be carried out successfully. 1 - Minimal evidence that the project will be successful.
EVALUATION OF SUCCESS	Applicant has clear definition of success and specific and relevant metrics to measure success.	
PARTNERSHIP RELEVANCY	Project collaborators present appropriate qualifications and experience with defined project.	
BUDGET CLARITY	Budgets are clear, detailed, accurate. Planned allocation of funds supports project goals.	